

LEGAL_AWARENES IN 2023 ITEM 72 EXTRACT OF EMAIL DATED 05 10 2023 TO LOKAYUKTA ODISHA

**CASE NO LY / 199 / 2023 AND LETTER NO 7916 LY DATED 30 09 2023 RECEIVED ON 05 10 2023 CASE
NO LY / 198 / 2023 AND LETTER NO 7115 LY DATED 30 09 2023 RECEIVED ON 05 10 2023**

jayanti das <jayantidasorissa2012@gmail.com>

Thu, Oct 5, 2023

7:50 PM

to lokayukta.odisha, supremecourt, lci-dla, rg.orihc, Prashant, Ramesh, Srinivas

To

SECRETARY JUDICIAL

**OFFICE OF THE LOKAYUKTA, ODISHA
B-2, GROUND FLOOR, TOSHALI BHAWAN,
SATYA NAGAR BHUBANESWAR-751007**

COPY TO

HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE OF INDIA

LAW COMMISSION OF INDIA

Registrar General Odisha High Court on behalf of MIGLAS OHC

Shri Prashant Bhushan Advocate Supreme Court

Shri Ramesh Mishra Advocate Supreme Court

Shri Srinivas Mohanty Advocate Orissa High Court

Sir

SUBJECT-

CASE NO LY / 199 / 2023 AND LETTER NO 7916 LY DATED 30 09 2023 RECEIVED ON 05 10 2023

CASE NO LY / 198 / 2023 AND LETTER NO 7115 LY DATED 30 09 2023 RECEIVED ON 05 10 2023

Please refer to your LETTER NO 7916 LY DATED 30 09 2023 regarding the order passed on 27 09 2023 where it is mentioned the case was dismissed because of non-submission of notarized affidavit and identity proof by me.

The order states that my PIL was transferred by Hon'ble High Court of Orissa for consideration by the Lokayukta is not correct because I have never mentioned it has been transferred but I was permitted to approach the Lokayukta which I did.

Your above said order also states that the order of Hon'ble High Court of Orissa does not mean the procedure of filing complaint should not be adhered or dispensed with and the petition is rejected.

Regarding this comment I need to explain that I have already elaborated the reason regarding non-submission of notarized affidavit and identity proof in my petition dated 31 08 2023 through email and made certain queries like providing me an advocate to do the legal formality, whether Lokayukta

has the power to direct CBI enquiry about the corruption of four pillars of democracy in which many retired judges of Orissa High court are not addressed in the order and so the order has suppressed many material facts which are exclusively RTI documents and submitted to you by email.

You have also not clarified whether any member of Lokayukta Odisha has availed any DQ plots from HUD Ministers of Odisha because I have RTI documents which shows name of Lokayukta member and so there is conflict of interest.

Under the circumstances the rejection of my petition dated 31 08 2023 vide order dated 27 09 2023 is not as per law and needs a re-consideration by an independent body.

Regarding CASE NO LY / 198 / 2023 AND LETTER NO 7115 LY DATED 30 09 2023 RECEIVED ON 05 10 2023 with a comment to file a proper complaint again is to be read along with the above mentioned explanation made in CASE NO LY / 199 / 2023 AND LETTER NO 7916 LY DATED 30 09 2023 RECEIVED ON 05 10 2023.

In the order dated 27 09 2023 in CASE NO LY / 199 / 2023 AND LETTER NO 7916 LY DATED 30 09 2023 you have mentioned to words one Contumacious language and second jargons which needs to be explained by you along with paragraph wise explanation to my petition dated 31 08 2023 and the present email.

Thanking you

Jayanti Das

www.jayantidas.com